

navbox+

-An Unscented Estimation and Adaptive Control Package-

Author: Jason Nezvadovitz

Created: June 1, 2017

This is a work in progress. The ROS package demos and most of the documentation are not finished.

To install the Python package, navigate to this folder and do: `sudo python setup.py install`

This Python package provides an [unscented Kalman filter](#) (UKF) for online state and parameter estimation, and a general framework to feed those estimates into an [adaptive controller](#). The only major assumptions are that:

- The physical system is Markovian with respect to some state
- That state lives on a finite-dimensional smooth manifold
- Process noise and sensor noise are independently sampled at each timestep
- Only the mean and covariance of any noises are known / available for use
- The true state's underlying probability distribution is unimodal
- Most uncertainty in the process is parametric

More importantly, this package (will eventually provide) some *usable* demos configuring navbox+ for a variety of robots, including boats and submarines, all integrated with [ROS](#).

Notation

The physical system under consideration is modeled over time t discretized by a chosen Δt as,

$$x(t + \Delta t) = f(x(t), u, \omega_f, \Delta t)$$

where $x \in \mathcal{M}$ is the system state, $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$ is the input we can control, and $\omega_f \sim (\bar{\omega}_f, C_f)$ is a random vector (“process noise”) distributed with mean $\bar{\omega}_f \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_f}}$ and covariance matrix C_f . Of the above variables, we only assume that u , $\bar{\omega}_f$, and C_f are known at all times.

The smooth n_m -dimensional manifold \mathcal{M} that x lives on (often called the state space) has to be understood a little. We must be capable of computing the exponential mapping between vectors in the tangent space of this manifold and points on the manifold itself. Specifically, we require two special operations: “[boxplus](#)” and “[boxminus](#)”.

The boxplus operation, $\boxplus : \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ perturbs a state on \mathcal{M} by a vector tangent to \mathcal{M} . I.e. for any $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and any $v \in \mathcal{T}_x(\mathcal{M})$, the result of $x \boxplus v$ is another point on \mathcal{M} that is the projection of v back onto \mathcal{M} . The boxminus operation $\boxminus : \mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$ is the inverse operation to boxplus, i.e. $(x \boxplus v) \boxminus x = v$. If your state is just a vector on \mathbb{R}^{n_m} then boxplus and boxminus are just vector addition and subtraction. However, if your state is or includes any non-vector components like quaternions, I highly suggest reading the paper linked above to further understand boxoperations.

The navbox+ package provides a few tools to help you configure your boxoperations. You may also begin to notice the pun in the package name.

Anyway, we also have a suite of n_h memoryless sensors modeled as,

$$z_i = h_i(x, u, \omega_{h_i}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n_h$$

where $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{z_i}}$ is the output of the i th sensor, corrupted by sensor noise $\omega_{h_i} \sim (\bar{\omega}_{h_i}, C_{h_i})$. The sensor noise mean and covariance are always known, but the measurements z_i can arrive intermittently.

So here's the deal: x can contain all your hopes and dreams. Typically, f and the h_i require a ton of physical parameters / biases that are difficult to experimentally determine. Or, your model may not even have the exact right form, so treating the parameters as time-varying may be necessary for flexibility through operating modes. To reconcile this,

1. Define $x_q \in \mathcal{M}_q$ as the true system states (not parameters).
2. Define $x_p \in \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$ as a vector of the *distinguishable* unknown parameters in f and the h_i .
3. Let $x \in \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_q \times \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$ be the concatenation of x_q and x_p , i.e. $|\mathcal{M}| = n_m = n_q + n_p$. Note that x itself can still be described with $n_x \geq n_m$ values when using redundant state representations like quaternions.

So what is meant by “distinguishable” parameters? Well consider some $y = ax + \sin(bx)$. Here a and b are distinguishable. Suppose you ran a system identification finding $a = 4$ and $b = 2$. Then I tell you that a is really a combination of two other parameters, a_1 and a_2 , like perhaps $y = (a_1 + a_2)x + \sin(bx)$ or $y = a_1 a_2 x + \sin(bx)$. The parameters a_1 and a_2 are indistinguishable because any combination of numbers that sum (first example) or multiply (second example) to $a = 4$ will still satisfy the identification. However, if the function was, say, $y = (a_1 + a_2)x + \sin(a_1 + bx)$, then a_1 and a_2 are distinguishable because a_1 contributes uniquely elsewhere. In short, indistinguishable parameters are those that connect themselves to the state in an identical way.

If your equations (f and the h_i) are linear in their parameters, it is really easy to spot and consolidate indistinguishable parameters. Fortunately, most robot models are linear in their parameters. While navbox+ can work on systems nonlinear in their parameters, things can go wrong because indistinguishability may be lurking within your parameterization.

Now then, if you can manage to get a good model with navbox+ online system identification, then you are poised to construct a great adaptive controller. The controller is a function,

$$u = g(r(t), r(t + dt), \hat{x}, C_x, dt)$$

where $r \in \mathcal{M}_q$ is the desired value of the non-parameter states (i.e. the “reference”) and C_x is the covariance of \hat{x} , our current estimate of x . This controller is adaptive because it makes use of parameters identified in realtime (i.e. the \hat{x}_p part of \hat{x}). If the parameter estimates were perfect, then g could simply be f with r plugged into x_q and then solved for u . However perfection is impractical, so remember to be safe and always wear a feedback term.

One more thing! If you happen to have a state derivative sensor too (like an accelerometer), you can still incorporate it in a variety of ways. The one we suggest is to append this measured derivative to the state vector and treat the sensor as an update for it. Cross-correlation between these derivative values and the other true states will couple the accelerometer information to the rest of the filter.

A table is provided on the following page to summarize most of the notation used in this package.

...

Table of Notation

Symbol	Space / Args	Meaning	Code
t	\mathbb{R}	Time	<code>t</code>
Δt	\mathbb{R}	Discrete timestep	<code>dt</code>
f	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_f}} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathcal{M}$	State advance function	<code>f</code>
\hat{x}	$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_q \times \mathbb{R}^{n_p}$	Full state estimate (contains $n_x \geq n_m$ values)	<code>x</code>
\hat{x}_q	\mathcal{M}_q	Non-parameter part of the state estimate	<code>xq</code>
\hat{x}_p	\mathbb{R}^{n_p}	Parameter part of the state estimate	<code>xp</code>
C_x	$\mathbb{R}^{n_m \times n_m}$	Full state estimate covariance matrix	<code>Cx</code>
u	\mathbb{R}^{n_u}	Control input	<code>u</code>
ω_f	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_f}}$	Process noise	<code>wf</code>
$\bar{\omega}_f$	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_f}}$	Process noise mean	<code>wf0</code>
C_f	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_f} \times n_{\omega_f}}$	Process noise covariance matrix	<code>Cf</code>
z_i	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{z_i}}$	Measurement from a sensor	<code>z</code>
h_i	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_u} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_{h_i}}} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{n_{z_i}}$	Model of a sensor	<code>h</code>
ω_{h_i}	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_{h_i}}}$	Noise in a sensor	<code>wh</code>
$\bar{\omega}_{h_i}$	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_{h_i}}}$	Mean of the noise in a sensor	<code>wh0</code>
C_{h_i}	$\mathbb{R}^{n_{\omega_{h_i}} \times n_{\omega_{h_i}}}$	Covariance matrix of a sensor's noise	<code>Ch</code>
g	$\mathcal{M}_q \times \mathcal{M}_q \times \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m \times n_m} \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{n_u}$	Controller function	<code>g</code>
r	\mathcal{M}_q	Desired non-parameter state	<code>r</code>
\boxplus	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m} \mapsto \mathcal{M}$	Boxplus	<code>xplus</code>
\boxminus	$\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{M} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$	Boxminus	<code>xminus</code>

Configuration / Usage

pass

References

pass